

## **Clearing Permit Decision Report**

## 1. Application details

1.1. Permit application details

Permit application No.: Permit type:

1501/1 Area Permit

1.2. Proponent details

Proponent's name:

Shire of Northampton

1.3. Property details

Property:

LOT 12928 ON PLAN 41490 ( KALBARRI 6536)

Local Government Area:

Shire Of Northampton

Colloquial name:

1.4. Application

Clearing Area (ha)

No. Trees

Method of Clearing

For the purpose of: Extractive Industry

0.98

Mechanical Removal

#### 2. Site Information

## 2.1. Existing environment and information

## 2.1.1. Description of the native vegetation under application

## Vegetation Description

Beard vegetation association 383: Shrublands; Acacia rostellifera scrub-heath Shepherd et al, 2001

## Clearing Description

The area under application is a block of one hectare that is immediately adjacent to an existing sand extraction pit. The vegetation of the area is best described as scrubheath, forming a rather dense coverage approximately 1.5m high which is dominated by Allocasuarina campestris. Other flora that would be affected by the proposal include acacia and grevillea species. The area falls within a well vegetated landscape, occurring within a reserve that lies adjacent to the Kalbarri National Park. Apart from the existing excavation there is no evidence of disturbance and the condition of the

## Vegetation Condition Con

Pristine: No obvious signs of disturbance (Keighery 1994)

#### Comment

The condition of the vegetation was determined during a site visit conducted on the 26th September 2006.

Site visit DEC officer, 2006.

## 3. Assessment of application against clearing principles

vegetation is pristine.

## (a) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises a high level of biological diversity.

#### Comments

### Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The Biodiversity Coordination Section, DEC (2006) advised that Kalbarri supports a high diversity of fauna and flora species. Much of the Kalbarri area is on the Register of National Estate as the Kalbarri National Park, which is managed for conservation by the DEC. On the Register of National Estate (DEH 2006) it is stated that 'Kalbarri is one of a number of areas in the wheatbelt that are significant for rare species due to widespread clearing in the surrounding landscape, and to the high diversity and level of local endemism.' The area under application is in close proximity to the Kalbarri National Park and therefore may possess similar biodiversity as the surrounding landscape. However, given that the area proposed to be cleared is small (0.98 hectares) compared to the large expanse covered by the Kalbarri National Park, it is unlikely that the biodiversity of the local area would be significantly reduced. Therefore the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

Biodiversity Coordination Section, DEC (2006)

Site visit DEC Officer (2006)

**GIS Databases:** 

- CALM Managed Lands & Waters CALM 01/07/05
- Register of National Estate EA 28/01/03
- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia EA 18/10/00

## (b) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of, a significant habitat for fauna indigenous to Western Australia.

#### Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The Biodiversity Coordination Section, DEC (2006) have advised that 'the specially protected malleefowl (Leipoa oceilata) and three priority species occur within the local area; or within a 10km radius from the area under application. In addition two other threatened and priority fauna have been released into Kalbarri National Park in the years 2000, 2004 and 2005. Although the area under application could provide habitat for these and other local fauna, given that it lies within a well vegetated landscape, faunal populations would find similar habitat nearby. Thus it would not be likely that the proposal would significantly impact upon the local fauna except possibly the malleefowl.'

Biodiversity Coordination Section, DEC (2006) further advised that 'Malleefowl can be sedentary with pairs using the same nest site each season, over successive years. They are found in eucalypt dominated woodlands and in some shrublands dominated by acacia. They require a sandy substrate and an abundance of leaf litter for the construction of their nests. As the area is indeed sandy and supports acacia shrubland, it is possible that it may be suitable for malleefowl.'

However, the area under application is directly adjacent to the existing sand extraction site and has been in use for a number of years. Given the proximity of the area under application to this ongoing disturbance and activity it is unlikely that the malleefowl would have utilised the area for nesting. Therefore the proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle.

Methodology

Biodiversity Coordination Section, DEC (2006)

Site visit DEC Officer (2006)

(c) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it includes, or is necessary for the continued existence of, rare flora.

#### Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The Biodiversity Coordination Section, DEC (2006) have advised that 'there are 4 species of Declared Rare Flora and 162 records of 48 species of Priority flora that occur within the local area; a radius of 10km. Of the DRF, it is considered that the preferred habitat of 3 would not occur in the area under application and therefore it would be unlikely that they would be affected by the proposal. However, there is insufficient information to determine whether a number of the Priority flora and the final species of DRF; Stachystemon nematophorous, would be supported by the area under application.'

A site visit, undertaken by a DEC Flora Conservation officer, confirmed that the proposed clearing is not likely to impact on Declared Rare or Priority Flora as these were not found to be present. The vegetation community found at the site is not known to be appropriate habitat for any of the DRF and most of the Priority Flora recorded within a 10km radius of the site.

### Methodology

Biodiversity Coordination Section, DEC (2006)

Site visit DEC Officer (2006)

GIS Databases:

- Declared Rare and Priority Flora list CALM 01/07/05
- Clearing Regulations Environmentally Sensitive Areas DoE 30/05/05

## (d) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it comprises the whole or a part of, or is necessary for the maintenance of a threatened ecological community.

#### Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

There are no known occurrences of Threatened Ecological Communities within the local area (Biodiversity Coordination Section, DEC 2006). Therefore, it is unlikely that the proposed clearing is at variance with this Principle.

### Methodology

Biodiversity Coordination Section, DEC (2006)

GIS Databases:

- Threatened Ecological Communities - CALM 12/04/05

## (e) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is significant as a remnant of native vegetation in an area that has been extensively cleared.

#### Comments

## Proposal is not at variance to this Principle

The area under application falls outside of the Intensive Landuse Zone but within the Geraldton Sandplains Bioregion, which has 42.2% of native vegetation remaining (Shepherd et al, 2001), making it of least concern by conservation status standards (Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002). There is no data available for the extent of vegetation remaining outside of the Intensive Landuse Zone within the Shire of Northampton. In addition, Beard Vegetation Association type 383 is well represented with 98.4% remaining and 17.9% reserved in conservation estate (Shepherd et al, 2001).

Given that the area under application falls outside of the Intensive Landuse Zone and is well represented through vegetation extent, this proposal is not at variance with this Principle.

|  | Pre-European<br>area (ha) | Current I extent (ha) | Remaining<br>%* | Conservation status** | Reserves/CALM-<br>managed |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| land, %                                  |                           |                       |                 |                       |                           |
| IBRA Bioregion                           |                           |                       |                 |                       |                           |
| <ul> <li>Geraldton Sandplains</li> </ul> | 3,136,277                 | 1,324,440             | 42.2            | Depleted              | 35.6                      |
| Shire - Northampton                      | Not available             | Not available N       | ot available    | Not available         | Not available             |
| Beard veg type - 383                     | 13,293                    | 13,081                | 98.4            | Least concern         | 17.9                      |
| * (Shepherd et al. 2001)                 |                           |                       |                 |                       |                           |

<sup>\*\* (</sup>Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002)

#### Methodology

#### GIS Databases:

- Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation of Australia EA 18/10/00
- Pre-European Vegetation DA 01/01
- Local Government Authorities DLI 08/07/04
- EPA Position Paper No 2 Agriculture Region DEP 12/00

Shepherd et al, 2001.

Department of Natural Resources and Environment, 2002

## (f) Native vegetation should not be cleared if it is growing in, or in association with, an environment associated with a watercourse or wetland.

#### Comments

## Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

No watercourse or wetlands occur within the area under application. There is an Australian Nature Conservation Agency (ANCA) wetland located approximately 3.5km from the area under application within the lower reaches of the Murchison River. Given the small area (0.98 hectares) under application and the distance to any other watercourse or wetland, it is unlikely that this proposal is at variance with this Principle.

#### Methodology

#### GIS Databases:

- Hydrography, linear DoE 01/02/04
- Hydrographic Catchments Catchments DoE 23/03/05
- ANCA, Wetlands CALM 08/01

# (g) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause appreciable land degradation.

#### Comments

## Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

DAFWA (2006) advises that 'the clearing of this relatively small area of vegetation is unlikely to cause land degradation in terms of salinity, wind and water erosion, waterlogging or flooding. The proposed clearing of 0.98 hectares is unlikely to cause appreciable land degradation.'

Therefore this proposal is unlikely to be at variance with this Principle.

#### Methodology

#### **DAFWA (2006)**

GIS Databases:

- Rainfall, Mean Annual BOM 30/09/01
- Salinity Risk LM 25m DOLA 00
- Acid Sulphate Soil risk map, SCP DOE 04/11/04
- Soils, Statewide DA 11/99

## (h) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to have an impact on the environmental values of any adjacent or nearby conservation area.

#### Comments

### Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The Kalbarri National Park surrounds the area under application to the North, South and East and occurs within 180m to the north, 2.5km to the south and 6.3km to the east of the proposal. In addition both the Kalbarri National Park and Crown Reserve 48528, on which the application area is located, are registered as National

Page 3

Estate.

The area under application was registered with the Department of Land Information on 14th February 2006 as Victoria Location 12928 and vested with the Shire of Northampton for the purposes of clay, gravel and recreation. The proposed clearing is not likely to impact on the environmental values of the identified conservation reserves due to the habitats being well represented in the adjacent National Park. Therefore this proposal is unlikely to be at variance to this Principle.

#### Methodology

**GIS Databases:** 

- CALM Regional Parks CALM 12/04/02
- CALM Managed Lands & Waters CALM 01/07/05
- Proposed National Parks FMP-CALM 19/03/03
- Register of National Estate EA 28/01/03

## (i) Native vegetation should not be cleared if the clearing of the vegetation is likely to cause deterioration in the quality of surface or underground water.

#### Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

The area under application falls within the Kalbarri Water Reserve area and the Priority 1 Public Drinking Water Source Area. The Department of Water (2007) advised that 'The Kalbarri Water Reserve Drinking Water Source Protection Plan (DWSPP) was published in June 2006 and identifies that the Shire will continue to manage Victoria Location 11987 (now known as Crown Reserve 48528 and 48527) for the purposes of recreation, gravel extraction and landfill.' The Department further advises that 'the Water Quality Protection Note: Land use compatibility in Public Drinking Water Source Areas identifies that the extraction of sand is compatible with conditions in Priority 1 areas.'

DAFWA (2006) advised that 'It is unlikely that the clearing of up to 1 hectare of vegetation will contribute to groundwater rise and salinity at this site. The water table is reported to be up to 50 metres below the ground surface at this location. The regional groundwater system is a high quality aquifer with low salinity readings (less than 400 mg/L). Land degradation risk analysis on the soil sub-system Mr 2 indicates that none of the map unit is presently saline and zero percent is presently at risk. The large area of high quality vegetation in the surrounding National Park also reduces the risk of any impact that clearing a small amount of vegetation may cause.'

Due to the small area under application and the condition recommended for revegetation it is unlikely that this proposal will be at variance with this Principle.

#### Methodology

DOW (2007)

**DAFWA (2006)** 

**GIS Databases:** 

- Public Drinking Water Sources (PDWSAs) DOE 09/08/05
- Hydrographic Catchments Catchments DOE 23/03/05
- Hydrography, linear DoE 01/02/04
- Rainfall, Mean Annual BOM 30/09/01

## (j) Native vegetation should not be cleared if clearing the vegetation is likely to cause, or exacerbate, the incidence or intensity of flooding.

## Comments

Proposal is not likely to be at variance to this Principle

DAFWA (2006) advised that 'It is unlikely that the proposed clearing will contribute to water logging and flooding. The Mr 2 sub-system degradation analysis indicates a minimal risk of water logging and flooding. The high infiltration rates of the sandy soils as well as the large proportion of the catchment with dense vegetation remaining reduce the likelihood of water logging or flooding in this area.'

Due to the sandy nature of the soils and small area (0.98 hectares) under application it is unlikely that this proposal is at variance to this Principle.

### Methodology

**DAFWA (2006)** 

GIS Databases:

- Rainfall, Mean Annual BOM 30/09/01
- Topographic Contours, Statewide DOLA 12/09/02

## Planning instrument, Native Title, Previous EPA decision or other matter.

#### Comments

The Shire of Northampton have advised that there are no planning approvals or requirements that relate to this application.

There is no further requirement for a RIWI Act Licence, Works Approval or EP Act Licence for the area under application.

The area under application falls within an Aboriginal Site of Significance. This information will be advised to the proponent on the covering letter of this permit.

There is a Native Title claim over the area under application; however the property was registered with the Department of Land Information on 14th February 2006 as Crown Reserve 48528 vested with the Shire of Northampton for the purposes of clay, gravel and recreation. It is the CEO of the Departmenty's view that the grant of a clearing permit in this case constitutes a secondary approval that removes the Environmental Protection Act's prohibition on the applicant exercising its statutory powers. Accordingly, the CEO is not required to comply with future act procedures under the Native Title Act 1993.

Methodology

Shire of Northampton submission

## Assessor's comments

Purpose

Method Applied

Comment

Extractive Industry

Mechanical Removal

0.98

area (ha)/ trees

The assessable criteria have been addressed and no objections were raised. The assessing officer therefore recommends that the permit should be granted with conditions to rehabilitate the site once sand extraction has been completed.

#### References

Biodiveristy Coordination Section, DEC (2006) Land clearing proposal advice (Specific Biodiversity advice). Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia, DEC TRIM ref DOC1957.

DAFWA (2006) Land degradation assessment report. Office of the Commissioner of Soil and Land Conservation, Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia. DEC TRIM Ref DOC5997.

Department of Natural Resources and Environment (2002) Biodiversity Action Planning. Action planning for native biodiversity at multiple scales; catchment bioregional, landscape, local. Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.

Hopkins, A.J.M., Beeston, G.R. and Harvey J.M. (2001) A database on the vegetation of Western Australia. Stage 1. CALMScience after J. S. Beard, late 1960's to early 1980's Vegetation Survey of Western Australia, UWA Press.

Keighery, B.J. (1994) Bushland Plant Survey: A Guide to Plant Community Survey for the Community. Wildflower Society of WA (Inc), Nedlands, Western Australia.

Shepherd, D.P., Beeston, G.R. and Hopkins, A.J.M. (2001) Native Vegetation in Western Australia, Extent, Type and Status. Resource Management Technical Report 249. Department of Agriculture, Western Australia.

Site Visit Report (2006) Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC), Western Australia. DEC TRIM ref DOC14283.

## 6. Glossary

Term

Meaning

BCS

**Biodiversity Coordination Section of DEC** 

CALM

Department of Conservation and Land Management (now BCS)

**DAFWA** 

Department of Agriculture and Food

DEC

Department of Environment and Conservation

DEP

Department of Environmental Protection (now DEC)

DoE

Department of Environment

DoIR DRF

Department of Industry and Resources

**EPP** 

Declared Rare Flora **Environmental Protection Policy** 

GIS ha

Geographical Information System Hectare (10,000 square metres)

**TEC WRC**  **Threatened Ecological Community** Water and Rivers Commission (now DEC)

